Application No. Applicant(s) 09/472.558 BAHRAMIAN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Peter Paras, Jr. 1632 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Peter Paras, Jr. (2) Sonia Gutterman. (4)_____. Date of Interview: 19 September 2001. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: . . Identification of prior art discussed: . . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner informed Applicants that the amendment after has been received and is under consideration. A formal interview has been scheduled at Applicant's request for September 25. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Ludent (Cicil

DEBORAH J. R. CLARK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required